

COMMISSION ON RETIREMENT, REMOVAL AND DISCIPLINE

2190 S. Mason Road, Suite 201
St. Louis, Missouri 63131

E-mail: jim.smith@courts.mo.gov

(314) 966-1007

Facsimile: (314) 966-0076

COMPLAINT ABOUT A MISSOURI JUDGE OR COURT COMMISSIONER

[PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT ALL INFORMATION]

Name: Melinda Sue Harrington, sui juris

Address: 2450 Elmwood Avenue

Kansas City, Missouri 64127

Phone: Daytime: (816) 231-2258 Evening: (816) 231-2258

I have information of possible misconduct or disability on the part of

Judge Scott Hayes, of the 14th Judicial Circuit Court
(name of judge or court commissioner)

in Huntsville, Randolph County Missouri.
(city) (county)

1. When and where did this happen?
see attached Complaint - various dates,
Date(s): _____ Time: _____ Location: _____

2. If your information arises out of a court case, please answer these questions:

(a) What is the name and number of the case?

Case name: Melinda S. Harrington v Dean Mina^{IR} Case no: 10RA-CV00208

(b) What kind of case is it?

criminal domestic relations small claims traffic
 probate civil juvenile contempt
 other [specify]: habeas Corpus

(c) What is your relationship to this case?

plaintiff petitioner defendant/respondent
 attorney for _____ witness for _____
 other [specify]: _____

(d) If you were represented by an attorney at the time of the conduct of the judge or court commissioner, please identify the attorney:

Name: _____

Address: _____

Phone: () _____

PURSUANT TO SUPREME COURT 12, THE IDENTITY OF INFORMANTS IS KEPT CONFIDENTIAL.
YOU MAY CHOOSE TO WAIVE THIS CONFIDENTIALITY.

I choose to waive the confidentiality of Supreme Court Rule 12 and request that a copy of my complaint be sent to the judge or court commissioner.

I do not waive the confidentiality provided by Supreme Court Rule 12 and request that the Commission not disclose my identity to the judge or court commissioner.

Signature:

Melvin J. Henry, Jr. Date: *April 22, 2010*
All Rights Reserved UCC1-308/1-207

April 22, 2010

On March 1, 2010 via United States Postal Service certified mail #7009 0960 0000 9901 9429 a Emergency Habeas Corpus on behalf of Denny Ray Hardin was delivered at 10:19 a.m. to the Randolph County Justice Center. It was signed for by Lana Burnham. It was addressed to Circuit Judge Scott Hayes the presiding judge for the 14th Judicial Circuit Court at Randolph County. This is the county where Denny Ray Hardin is currently being held without authority of law. It was addressed to the presiding judge per the Superior Court for the District of Columbia; where it had been dismissed without prejudice for filing in the jurisdiction in which he was currently being detained. It was put on the docket on March 1, 2010 provisionally to preserve the record. In accordance with Missouri Supreme Court rule of court 91.05 the writ is to be signed or a show cause is to be ordered without delay. Judge Scott Hayes delayed addressing this cause of action for 15 days before willfully neglecting his duty and passing the assignment to Associate Judge Gary Sprick of Howard County on March 16, 2010.

On March 17, 2010 I spoke to the clerk Shiela on or about 2:30 p.m. inquiring as to any updates on the case; that were not posted on case.net. She informed me that Judge Gary Sprick was to be in court all day and may not have had time to review the petition; which with exhibits and 16 personal eyewitness declarations signed under the penalty of perjury who witnessed this unlawful incarceration making this roughly 80 pages to review. Upon checking case.net at the end of the day I saw an order had been posted by Judge Sprick wanting me to file an amended petition; which I did and the court received via United States Postal Service certified mail# 7009 0960 0000 9901 9535 which was delivered at 10:10 a.m. again signed for by Lana Burnham. Judge Sprick waited another eight days until March 30, 2010 before issuing a Order to show cause issued to Dean Minor, Warden of the MOBERLY CORRECTIONAL CENTER giving him 15 days to show cause when Rule 91.07 states the time of filing an answer shall not be later than three days after service. On March 31, 2010 the order was issued to the Randolph County Sheriffs Department which did not deliver the order until April 6, 2010.

I mailed in via United States Postal Service certified mail# 7009 0960 0000 9903 1407 a Judicial Notice of "Obstruction of Justice" which was delivered April 2, 2010 at 8:29 a.m. again


signed for by Lana Burnham, and addressed to presiding Judge Scott Hayes. It did not get posted to the docket until April 6, 2010 four days after being received and not reflecting the true date it was received by Judge Scott Hayes. The Attorney General sent in his response which showed no cause, basically repeating entries posted on case net. which was posted to the docket on April 12, 2010. My reply to show cause answer was delivered via FEDEX # 872301130983 was delivered on April 13, 2010 at 4:24 p.m. again signed for by Lana Burnham. It was posted to the docket on the April 14, 2010 reflecting the date of April 13, 2010 when it was received. In my reply to show cause answer I requested the court proceed in accordance with rule 91.15 which states the hearing shall be held no more than five days after receiving the answer unless the person being restrained requests longer.

On April 14, 2010 Judge Gary Sprick scheduled a hearing for May 13, 2010 blatantly ignoring rule 91.15. When I called to inquire as to why I was told that there had been a revision to Rule 91.15. I contacted the court Administrators office to inquire as to any revisions as case.net showed the last revisions was done January 1, 1983. I was informed by the court Administrators office that no new revisions have been made specifically to Rule 91.15. On April 15, 2010 I mailed via United States Postal Service certified mail# 7009 0960 0000 9903 0929 a Second Judicial Notice of Obstruction of Justice to presiding Judge Scott Hayes regarding the blatant disregard for the Missouri Supreme Rules of Court. It was delivered on April 16, 2010 at 9:50 a.m. I cannot recognize the name on the signature card where the document was signed for because it is a mere scribble. I believe it to be T.J. Creer but can not be sure. This Judicial notice according to Shiela, a clerk at the court, has been sitting on Judge Scott Hayes desk not recorded for six days. It was finally posted to the docket entries as part of the record April 22, 2010 approximately around 2:00 p.m. It shows the date received as being April 22, 2010 but I have delivery confirmation it was delivered to the judge on April 16, 2010.

This process has been delayed for 52 days when Rule 91.05 states it should be addressed without delay. I believe Judge Scott Hayes has willfully neglected his duty; Obstructed Justice, Obstructed correspondence to the record, and the record has been falsified as to the true dates that documents are received. Article I Section 12 of the Constitution for

Missouri and Article I Section 9 of the Constitution for the united States of America guarantees the right of habeas corpus and the Constitution of Missouri Article I Section 12 states habeas corpus shall never be suspended but it is delayed, denied and processes postponed until the person being restrained is released on parole so it can be denied for lack of jurisdiction. I have requested the injured party be brought forth or that they produce a valid contract; neither has been produced to date. Attached is the Second Judicial Notice of Obstruction of Justice with exhibits, delivery confirmation of this notice and case.net document showing the falsifying of dates of receipt on the docket and docket entry sheets showing how entries have been added reflecting a later filing of the petition. These dates were not added on until April but show they were posted to the docket March 10, 2010. There has been no cause shown as to why the habeas should not be signed and I believe that by allowing delay in scheduling the hearing twenty-six days after the time allowed by rule 91.15 is another attempt of Judge Scott Hayes to willfully neglect his duty. The court has failed to mail me a copy of the orders with the judges' signature, except for the order to show cause; after several attempts to obtain a copy of the orders. Article I Section 14 of the Constitution for Missouri states that the courts of Justice shall be open to every person, and certain remedy afforded for every injury to person, property or character, and the right and justice shall be administered without sale, denial or delay. I paid the court fees in good faith that I would get due process of law and that the court would proceed in accordance with Rule 91; yet today I am writing to you in regards to the conduct of Judge Scott Hayes to administer due process of law in a timely manner; in accordance with the guidelines of the Missouri Supreme Court Rules. So it would seem to me that the Constitutions and rules of court have been blatantly disregarded; that the judges don't have to follow the same rules that the citizens do, and that indeed justice is for sale. With the submission of this complaint I will have exhausted all Administrative processes available.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Melinda Sue Harrington". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looped initial "M".

Melinda Sue: Harrington, sui juris

All Rights Reserved UCC 1-308/1-207

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT RANDOLPH COUNTY, MISSOURI

**Second Judicial Notice
of
"Obstruction of Justice"**

Melinda Sue Harrington, sui juris **Petitioner**

Denny Ray Hardin, sui juris **Petitioner**

Without prejudice of rights **UCC 1-308/1-207**

Vs **Case # 10RA-CV00208**

DEAN MINOR

RESPONDENT

**Second Judicial Notice
of
"OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE"**

COMES NOW, Petitioner's, Melinda-Sue; family of [Harrington] and Denny-Ray; family of [Hardin] to notice the court of the miscarriage of Justice and the willful neglect of duty perpetrated by the corporate CIRCUIT COURT OF RANDOLPH COUNTY, MISSOURI working in concert with the corporate CIRCUIT COURT OF JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI and the corporate STATE OF MISSOURI ,to deny Petitioner Denny- Ray; family of [Hardin] , an American citizen residing in the republic of Missouri due process of law and violate his constitutional rights under the color of law.

On March 1st, 2010 the CIRCUIT COURT OF RANDOLPH COUNTY, MISSOURI received a Petition for the Great Writ of Habeas Corpus that is guaranteed by the constitution for the republic of Missouri Article I Section 12 and the constitution for the united States of America Article I Section 9. It was received by the public vessel Scott Hayes who denied petitioner the right of habeas corpus by his willful neglect of duty for 15 days. The public vessel Scott Hayes then assigned the public vessel Gary Sprick the duty of addressing the writ; which the public vessel Gary Sprick further denied the writ and delaying his duty requesting an amended petition

which the public vessel received and yet again neglected his duty. On March 30th the public vessel gave the respondent 15 days to show cause why the writ should not be signed. The response from the respondents' attorney was filed on April 12th, 2010. Petitioner replied to respondents' attorneys' response on April 13th, 2010, requesting the court to conduct a show cause hearing according to Rule 91.15 which allows no more than 5 days for the hearing to be conducted. On April 14th, 2010 the public vessel set a show cause hearing to be held on May 13th, 2010, still neglecting his duty and having full knowledge that the petitioner would be released from his bondage and out on parole before he was to conduct his lawful duty and obligation to petitioner Denny-Ray; family of [Hardin]. Thus establishing that Denny-Ray; family of [Hardin] would no longer be in Dean Minor's possession; therefore the court would not have to rule on this habeas corpus.

Petitioner Denny-Ray; family of [Hardin] has explicitly reserved all his rights UCC 1-308/1-207 and the public vessels have full undeniable knowledge, therefore they can only be delaying and denying petitioner's rights with malice, intent and knowledge to deny an American citizen his constitutional rights.

Therefore, petitioner will petition the de jure grand jury of the republic of Missouri to hear the following crimes of the public vessels; Scott Hayes ; Gary Sprick ; Dean Minor and Chris Koster, for conspiring, in concert to engage in the following criminal acts against Denny-Ray family of [Hardin] an American citizen.

1. "Piracy" 18 USC 1652

The public vessels cannot produce any cause or an injured party as to why petitioner is being restrained. They have pirated the vessel of Denny-Ray; family of [Hardin] in clear acts of hostility with malice, intent and full knowledge of the criminal acts they are engaged in.

2. "Deprivation of rights under color of law" 18 USC 242

The public vessels have received personal testimony from 16 individuals describing the unlawful actions they witnessed first hand that the CIRCUIT COURT OF JACKSON COUNTY MISSOURI and the corporate STATE OF MISSOURI have engaged in to deprive the petitioner Denny-Ray; family of [Hardin] his rights under the color of law without subject matter jurisdiction; yet they continue the deprivation that holds the petitioner incarcerated without authority of law, hence they are working in concert to deprive an American citizen his right to habeas corpus when there is no injured party and without any authority of law.

3. "Conspiracy against rights" 18 USC 241

The public vessels have gone out of their way to interpret the laws, and the constitutions to fit their own agendas. They have conspired to deny petitioner the same rights that they are supposed to uphold according to their prescribed Oaths of Office. They have conspired to delay and deny petitioner Denny-Ray; family of [Hardin] the writ of habeas corpus. The public vessels have afforded special treatment to the respondent while denying petitioner his rights when no cause not to sign the writ has been produced. Petitioner has lost almost nine months of his life and sustained injuries by the public vessels who have neglected their oaths, and denied petitioner his constitutional rights. The public vessels have disgraced and manipulated the constitution for the republic of Missouri, and the constitution for the united States of America to benefit the profiteering prisons and deny petitioner his rights without an injured party.

4. "Obstruction of Justice" 18 USC 1512

The public vessels Scott Hayes and Gary Sprick have obstructed justice by allowing special and preferential treatment to respondent and his attorneys. They have ignored the Missouri Supreme Rules of court regarding habeas corpus and the timelines in which it is to be addressed. They have ignored the rules regarding time for respondent to answer show cause order. They have ignored rules addressing the timeline in which a show cause hearing is to be held. All of this has been done to obstruct justice, and deny petitioner his rightful show cause hearing. To date no cause has been shown, but yet the public vessels are still delaying the signing of the writ, when no cause not to sign has been shown. The public vessels Scott Hayes and Gary Sprick are delaying and denying petitioner Denny-Ray; family of [Hardin] his show cause hearing; with the undeniable knowledge that on May 13th, 2010 he will no longer be in the custody of Dean Minor but will be in the custody of the MISSOURI PROBATION AND PAROL authorities, thus denying the writ because they will no longer have jurisdiction, and will not have to rule on this cause of action.

5. "Kidnapping" 18 USC 1201

Because the public servant Dean Minor has restrained the petitioner Denny-Ray; family of [Hardin], without authority of law, he has in fact kidnapped an American citizen and has become a "Principal" 18 USC 2(a) in this unlawful, immoral and illegal act of hostility. Because the public vessels Scott Hayes, Gary Sprick and Chris Koster have aided and abetted this criminal activity when they have a duty to correct it they have become "Accessories after the fact" 18 USC 3.

6. "Peonage" 18 USC 1581

The public vessels have kidnapped and placed in peonage an American citizen without authority of law. He has been forced to perform slave labor for the public vessel Dean Minor for private corporations for the profit of the prison. The public vessels Scott Hayes, Gary Sprick and Chris Koster have aided and abetted these crimes by continuing to deny Denny-Ray; family of [Hardin] due process of law to release him from his unlawful restraints. These public vessels are committing the crime of "Trafficking with respect to peonage, slavery, involuntary servitude and forced labor" 18 USC 1590.

7. "Fraud" 18 USC 1001

Because no evidence has been produced showing the true incarceration of Denny-Ray; family of [Hardin], and since no injured party can be produced, the record of the court will reflect clear fraud in the denial and delay of habeas corpus for an American citizen.

28 USC, Part III, 636(b) 1(A)

A judge cannot give authority to a magistrate to involuntarily dismiss an action.

Wherefore the judge and magistrate are in error.

WHEREAS, officials and even judges have no immunity (See, Owen vs. City of Independence, 100 S Ct. 1398; Maine vs. Thiboutot, 100 S. Ct. 2502; and Hafer vs. Melo, 502 U.S. 21; officials and judges are deemed to know the law and sworn to uphold the law; officials and judges cannot claim to act in good faith in willful deprivation of law, they certainly cannot plead ignorance of the law, even the Citizen cannot plead ignorance of the law, the courts have ruled there is no such thing as ignorance of the law, it is ludicrous for learned officials and judges to plead ignorance of the law therefore there is no immunity, judicial or otherwise, in matters of rights secured by the Constitution for the United States of America. See: Title 42 U.S.C. Sec. 1983.

Duncan v. Missouri, 152 U.S. 377, 382 (1894) "Due process of law and the equal protection of the laws are secured if the laws operate on all alike, and do not subject the individual to an arbitrary exercise of the powers of government."

Butz v. Economou, 98 S. Ct. 2894 (1978); United States v. Lee, 106 U.S. at 220, 1 S. Ct. at 261 (1882) "No man [or woman] in this country is so high that he is above the law. No officer of the law may set that law at defiance with impunity. All the officers of the government from the highest to the lowest, are creatures of the law, and are bound to obey it."

These acts clearly demonstrate the public vessel Scott Hayes and those acting in concert with him have willfully neglected their duty to act within the laws that govern their conduct. By violating the law to delay justice in these proceedings, the record clearly reflects the insurrection of the de facto STATE OF MISSOURI. To unlawfully hold an American citizen in prison in violation of lawful duty, established in Rule 91.06, is clear conspiracy against rights. The courts record is now evidence of the criminal conduct by Scott Hayes and those conspiring with him. Justice has been denied to an American citizen with malice, intent and knowledge, so the record reflects.

Wherefore it is clear that the de facto STATE OF MISSOURI and its public vessels, i.e., the corporate CIRCUIT COURTS have abandoned the constitutions , and the oaths they took to defend the constitutions and are guilty of Insurrection. Petitioner will seek his remedy by petitioning the du jure grand jury of the republic of Missouri and by forwarding criminal complaints of these treasonous acts to the Commandant of the Coast Guard for prosecution under :

TITLE 10 > Subtitle A > PART I > CHAPTER 15 > § 333

Interference with State and Federal law

- (1) so hinders the execution of the laws of that State, and of the United States within the State, that any part or class of its people is deprived of a right, privilege, immunity, or protection named in the Constitution and secured by law, and the constituted authorities of that State are unable, fail, or refuse to protect that right, privilege, or immunity, or to give that protection; or
- (2) opposes or obstructs the execution of the laws of the United States or impedes the course of justice under those laws.


In any situation covered by clause (1), the State shall be considered to have denied the equal protection of the laws secured by the Constitution

Wherefore, Petitioner's demand due process of law guaranteed by the 5th amendment be administered without delay required by Article I Section 14 of the Constitution for the Republic of Missouri.

"JUSTICE DELAYED IS JUSTICE DENIED"

Respectfully submitted,

Signed:



Melinda-Sue; Harrington, sui juris

All rights reserved UCC 1-308/1-207



Denny-Ray; Hardin, sui juris

All rights reserved UCC 1-308/1-207

Select Search Method...

Judicial Links | Court Links | Help | Contact Us | Print

Logon

Case Header	Parties & Attorneys	Docket Entries	Charges, Judgments & Sentences	Service Information	Filings Due	Scheduled Hearings & Trials	Civil Judgments	Garnishments/ Execution
-------------	---------------------	----------------	--------------------------------	---------------------	-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	-------------------------

10RA-CV00208 - MELINDA S HARRINGTON V DEAN MINOR

This information is provided as a service and is not considered an official court record.
Displaying 1 thru 6 of 20 records for all dockets returned for case 10RA-CV00208.

- 03/01/2010**

Docket Entry: Judge Assigned

Docket Entry: Filing:
Text: Petition for Emergency "Writ of Habeas Corpus", from Melinda Sue Harrington, petitioner, filed provisionally to preserve the record.
Filing Party: HARRINGTON , MELINDA SUE

Docket Entry: Judge/Clerk - Note
Text: Failure to deposit filing fee in the amount of \$105.00 in the form of cash or money order payable to Circuit Clerk of Randolph County, plus \$35.00 check or money order payable to Sheriff of Randolph County for service, within 30 days, will result in case placed on dismissal docket.
- 03/02/2010**

Docket Entry: Judge/Clerk - Note
Text: Received \$105.00 filing fee
- 03/10/2010**

Docket Entry: Pet Filed in Circuit Ct
Filing Party: HARRINGTON , MELINDA SUE
- 03/16/2010**

Docket Entry: Judge Assigned
Text: Cause assigned to Hon. Judge Sprick.

Displaying 1 thru 6 of 20 records for all dockets returned for case 10RA-CV00208.

Case Header Parties & Attorneys Docket Entries Charges, Judgments & Sentences Service Information Filings Due Scheduled Hearings & Trials Civil Judgments Garnishments/ Execution

10RA-CV00208 - MELINDA S HARRINGTON V DEAN MINOR

This information is provided as a service and is not considered an official court record.
 Displaying 7 thru 12 of 20 records for all dockets returned for case 10RA-CV00208.

- 03/17/2010** **Docket Entry:** Order
Text: The Court has reviewed Petitioner's Petition for Emergency Writ of Habeas Corpus, and finds that the same fails to allege facts which if true would state a cause of action for the issuance of this Court's writ. Petitioner granted 20 days to file amended petition stating a cause of action. Failing same, this cause will be dismissed for failure to state a cause of action. Clerk to notify. GS
- 03/18/2010** **Docket Entry:** Filing:
Text: Demand for Documentation of Court Officers
- 03/22/2010** **Docket Entry:** Amended Motion/Petition Filed
Text: Amended Petition for Emergency "Writ of Habeas Corpus"
Filing Party: HARRINGTON , MELINDA SUE
- 03/24/2010** **Docket Entry:** Filing:
Text: Notification of Reservation of Rights UCC 1-308/1-207 filed by Melinda Sue Harrington and Denny Ray Hardin
- 03/30/2010** **Docket Entry:** Judge/Clerk - Note
Text: Amended Petition for Emergency Writ of Habeas Corpus is considered by court. Order to Show Cause why Habeas Corpus should not be granted is signed and filed herein.
Docket Entry: Order
Text: Order to Show Cause within 15 days ordered issued to Dean Minor, Warden, M.C.C. Petitioner thereafter has 7 days to reply. Thereafter, Court will determine whether or not Petitioner is entitled to evidentiary hearing and if so, will set cause for hearing. Clerk to notify.
 Displaying 7 thru 12 of 20 records for all dockets returned for case 10RA-CV00208.

het

Select Search Method...

Judicial Links | Court Links | Help | Contact Us | Print

Logon

Case Header	Parties & Attorneys	Docket Entries	Charges, Judgments & Sentences	Service Information	Filings Due	Scheduled Hearings & Trials	Civil Judgments	Garnishments/ Execution
10RA-CV00208 - MELINDA S HARRINGTON V DEAN MINOR								

This information is provided as a service and is not considered an official court record.
Displaying 13 thru 18 of 20 records for all dockets returned for case 10RA-CV00208.

3

03/31/2010

Docket Entry: Show Cause Summons Issued
Text: To the Sheriff of Randolph County for service

Docket Entry: Judge/Clerk - Note
Text: Certified copy of file mailed to Attorney General of Missouri and Legal Counsel Moberly Correctional Center

04/06/2010

Docket Entry: Show Cause Returned Served
Text: BY RCSD on 04/06/2010 @10:06A.M.

Docket Entry: Filing:
Text: Judicial Notice of "Obstruction of Justice" signed by Melinda Harrington and Denny Ray Hardin received this date.

04/12/2010

Docket Entry: Answer Filed
Text: Response to Order to Show Cause Why a Writ of Habeas Corpus Should not be Granted.
Filing Party: HAWKE , STEPHEN DAVID

04/13/2010

Docket Entry: Answer Filed
Text: "Reply to Show Cause Answer"
Filing Party: HARRINGTON , MELINDA SUE

Displaying 13 thru 18 of 20 records for all dockets returned for case 10RA-CV00208.

3



net

Select Search Method...

Judicial Links | Court Links | Help | Contact Us | Print

Logon

Case Header	Parties & Attorneys	Docket Entries	Charges, Judgments & Sentences	Service Information	Filings Due	Scheduled Hearings & Trials	Civil Judgments	Garnishments/ Execution
-------------	---------------------	----------------	--------------------------------	---------------------	-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	-------------------------

10RA-CV00208 - MELINDA S HARRINGTON V DEAN MINOR

This information is provided as a service and is not considered an official court record.
Displaying 19 thru 20 of 20 records for all dockets returned for case 10RA-CV00208.

4

04/14/2010

Docket Entry: Judge/Clerk - Note

Text: All pending matters and motions are set for hearing and disposition on 5-13-10 at 9:00 a.m.

Docket Entry: Hearing Scheduled

Associated Events: 05/13/2010 , 09:00:00 - Hearing

Displaying 19 thru 20 of 20 records for all dockets returned for case 10RA-CV00208.

4

Case.net Version 5.9.4.2

Released 04/09/2010

Supreme Court Rules - Rule 91.06

Exhibit 2

91.06. Writ Shall Issue Without Petition - When

Whenever any court of record, or any judge thereof, shall have evidence from any judicial proceedings had before such court or judge that any person is illegally confined or restrained of liberty within the jurisdiction of such court or judge, it shall be the duty of the court or judge to issue a writ of habeas corpus for the person's relief, although no petition be presented for such writ.

(Adopted June 24, 1982, eff. Jan. 1, 1983. Amended Sept. 28, 1993, eff. Jan. 1, 1994.)

COMMITTEE NOTE - 1983

This is substantially the same as prior Rule 91.05

91.07. Form of Writ or Order - To Whom Directed

Every writ shall be captioned with the style of the case, shall be entitled "Writ of Habeas Corpus," and shall be issued in the name of the State of Missouri. All such writs or orders to show cause shall be issued under the seal of the court, unless issued by a judge out of court in which event the writ or order shall be signed by the judge. The writ or order shall be directed to the person having custody of the person restrained and shall designate a time for filing an answer to the petition, which shall not be later than three days after service. For good cause additional time may be allowed.

(Adopted June 24, 1982, eff. Jan. 1, 1983.)

COMMITTEE NOTE - 1983

The sources are prior Rules 91.06, 91.07, 91.09 and 91.15.
Compare: 28 U.S.C. Section 2243.

91.15. Time of Hearing

When the answer is filed, the court may proceed with the hearing not more than five days after the filing of the answer unless the person being restrained requests a longer time or for good cause additional time is allowed.

(Adopted June 24, 1982, eff. Jan. 1, 1983.)

COMMITTEE NOTE - 1983

The source is prior Rule 91.27.
Compare: 28 U.S.C. Section 2243.

10RA-CV00208 - MELINDA S HARRINGTON V DEAN MINOR

This information is provided as a service and is not considered an official court record.

Displaying 1 thru 6 of 6 records for all dockets returned for case 10RA-CV00208.

03/01/2010

Docket Entry: Judge Assigned

Docket Entry: Filing:

Text: Petition for Emergency "Writ of Habeas Corpus", from Melinda Sue Harrington, petitioner, filed provisionally to preserve the record.

Filing Party: HARRINGTON , MELINDA SUE

Docket Entry: Judge/Clerk - Note

Text: Failure to deposit filing fee in the amount of \$105.00 in the form of cash or money order payable to Circuit Clerk of Randolph County, plus \$35.00 check or money order payable to Sheriff of Randolph County for service, within 30 days, will result in case placed on dismissal docket.

~~03/02/2010~~

Docket Entry: Judge/Clerk - Note

Text: Received \$105.00 filing fee

03/16/2010

Docket Entry: Judge Assigned

Text: Cause assigned to Hon. Judge Sprick.

03/17/2010

Docket Entry: Order

Text: The Court has reviewed Petitioner's Petition for Emergency Writ of Habeas Corpus, and finds that the same fails to allege facts which if true would state a cause of action for the issuance of this Court's writ. Petitioner granted 20 days to file amended petition stating a cause of action. Failing same, this cause will be dismissed for failure to state a cause of action. Clerk to notify. GS

Displaying 1 thru 6 of 6 records for all dockets returned for case 10RA-CV00208.



Select Search Method...

Judicial Links | Court Links | Help | Contact Us | Print

Logon

Case Header	Parties & Attorneys	Docket Entries	Charges, Judgments & Sentences	Service Information	Filings Due	Scheduled Hearings & Trials	Civil Judgments	Garnishments/ Execution
-------------	---------------------	----------------	--------------------------------	---------------------	-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	-------------------------

10RA-CV00208 - MELINDA S HARRINGTON V DEAN MINOR

This information is provided as a service and is not considered an official court record.

Displaying 1 thru 6 of 21 records for all dockets returned for case 10RA-CV00208.

1

03/01/2010

Docket Entry: Judge Assigned

Docket Entry: Filing:

Text: Petition for Emergency "Writ of Habeas Corpus", from Melinda Sue Harrington, petitioner, filed provisionally to preserve the record.

Filing Party: HARRINGTON , MELINDA SUE

Docket Entry: Judge/Clerk - Note

Text: Failure to deposit filing fee in the amount of \$105.00 in the form of cash or money order payable to Circuit Clerk of Randolph County, plus \$35.00 check or money order payable to Sheriff of Randolph County for service, within 30 days, will result in case placed on dismissal docket.

~~03/02/2010~~

Docket Entry: Judge/Clerk - Note

Text: Received \$105.00 filing fee

~~03/03/2010~~

Docket Entry: Pet Filed in Circuit Ct

Filing Party: HARRINGTON , MELINDA SUE

03/16/2010

Docket Entry: Judge Assigned

Text: Cause assigned to Hon. Judge Sprick.

Displaying 1 thru 6 of 21 records for all dockets returned for case 10RA-CV00208.

1

YAHOO! MAIL**USPS Shipment Info for 7009 0960 0000 9903 0929**

Tuesday, April 20, 2010 12:20 PM

From: "U.S. Postal Service" <U.S._Postal_Service@usps.com>

To: Lilpitrcrew61@yahoo.com

This is a post-only message. Please do not respond.

melinda harrington has requested that you receive a Track & Confirm update, as shown below.

Track & Confirm e-mail update information provided by the U.S. Postal Service.

Label Number: 7009 0960 0000 9903 0929

Service Type: First-Class Certified Mail

Shipment Activity	Location	Date & Time
Delivered	HUNTSVILLE MO 65259	04/16/10 9:50am
Arrival at Unit	HUNTSVILLE MO 65259	04/16/10 7:46am
Acceptance	INDEPENDENCE MO 64052	04/15/10 10:03am

Reminder: Track & Confirm by email

Date of email request: 04/18/10

Future activity will continue to be emailed for up to 2 weeks from the Date of Request shown above. If you need to initiate the Track & Confirm by email process again at the end of the 2 weeks, please do so at the USPS Track & Confirm web site at <http://www.usps.com/shipping/trackandconfirm.htm>

USPS has not verified the validity of any email addresses submitted via its online Track & Confirm tool.

For more information, or if you have additional questions on Track & Confirm services and features, please visit the Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) section of our Track & Confirm site at <http://www.usps.com/shipping/trackandconfirm/faq.htm>

U.S. Postal Service
CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)


For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com.

HUNTSVILLE TN 37279

OFFICIAL USE

7009 0960 0000 9960 0000 9960 0000 9960 0000 9960 0000 9960 0000

Postage	\$	\$1.39	0052
Certified Fee		\$2.80	07
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)		\$2.30	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)		\$0.00	
Total Postage & Fees	\$	\$6.49	



Sent To
 Randolph Cty Justice - Judge Scott Hayes
 Street, Apt., No. or PO Box No. 372 Highway JJ, Suite C
 City, State, ZIP+4
 Huntsville, Mo., 65259



Select Search Method...

Judicial Links | Court Links | Help | Contact Us | Print

Logon

Case Header	Parties & Attorneys	Docket Entries	Charges, Judgments & Sentences	Service Information	Filings Due	Scheduled Hearings & Trials	Civil Judgments	Garnishments/ Execution
-------------	---------------------	----------------	--------------------------------	---------------------	-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	-------------------------

10RA-CV00208 - MELINDA S HARRINGTON V DEAN MINOR

This information is provided as a service and is not considered an official court record.
Displaying 19 thru 21 of 21 records for all dockets returned for case 10RA-CV00208.

4

04/14/2010

Docket Entry: Judge/Clerk - Note

Text: All pending matters and motions are set for hearing and disposition on 5-13-10 at 9:00 a.m.

Docket Entry: Hearing Scheduled

Associated Events: 05/13/2010 , 09:00:00 - Hearing



04/22/2010

Docket Entry: Filing:

Text: Second Judicial Notice of "Obstruction of Justice" signed by Melinda Harrington and Denny Ray Hardin received this date.

Displaying 19 thru 21 of 21 records for all dockets returned for case 10RA-CV00208.

4